

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,503	12/06/2000	Edward Neil Chapman	10432/29	1242
7590 09/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Gustavo Siller, Jr. BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395		BURLESON, MICHAEL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60610-0395			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/731,503	CHAPMAN, EDWARD NEIL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Burleson	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	- illowance except for formal ma	• •	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific state of the specifi	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyon correction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in e priority documents have bee  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No: n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hansen US 6509974.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 1, Hansen teaches that the stations (114) can be configured to read many different electronic file formats (column 4, lines 26-30), which reads on receiving an input of an application file. He teaches of different types of document

Art Unit: 2626

features that can be selected (column 6, lines 24-31), which reads on selecting a preferential document-processing feature from a group of document-processing features for a print job. Hansen also teaches of a plug in that contains a new feature or function (column 13, lines 4-6), which reads on applying a plug-in module, for supporting the preferential document-processing feature, to the application file.

Regarding claim 2, Hansen teaches of a print production stage (108), in which the final form of the documents are sent to a print server (120), which is then sent to the desired output device (122) (column 6,lines 62-67). This reads on at least a portion of the application file using the plug-in module for the print job.

Regarding claim 3, Hansen teaches of ready for printer file format, which include Portable Format Document (PDF), Postscript and printer control language (PCL) (column 4, lines 34-38), which reads on a page description language file selected from the group consisting of a Portable Format Document (PDF), Postscript and printer control language (PCL).

Regarding claim 4, Hansen teaches that a ready for printer file format is interpreted by the internal processing engine of the print engine and converts non-ready for printer file formats into ready for printer file format (column 5,lines 22-37), which reads on determining whether or not the application file represents a page description language file; converting the received application file into a page description language file if the received application file does not represent a page description file.

Regarding claim 5, Hansen teaches of a desktop (302) that is structured as a plug in architecture, which allows enhancements and updates (column 12, lines 62-67

Art Unit: 2626

and column 13, lines 1-4), which reads on accessing a plug-in module database to retrieve the selected plug-in module.

Regarding claim 6, Hansen teaches that the stations (114) can be configured to read many different electronic file formats (column 4, lines 26-30), which reads on receiving an input of an application file. He teaches the internal processing engine of the print engine and converts non-ready for printer file formats into ready for printer file format (column 5,lines 22-37), which reads on converting the application file into a page description language file if the application file is in a format distinct from the page description language file format. He teaches of different types of document features that can be selected (column 6, lines 24-31), which reads on selecting a preferential document-processing feature from a group of document-processing features for a print job. Hansen also teaches the job preparation station (116) prints the job (column 5, lines 59-62), which reads on printing the page description language file using the selected plug-in module for a print job.

Regarding claim 7, claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3.

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 5.

Regarding claim 9, Hansen teaches of an internal processing engine that interprets whether a file is a ready for printer file format or not (column 5, lines 22-44), which reads on a detector for receiving an input of an application file and determining whether the application file represents a page description language file. He teaches of a GUI interface represented on workstation (116) that allows manipulation of documents (column 8, lines 59-67 and column 9, lines 1-5), which reads on a user interface for

Art Unit: 2626

selecting a preferential document-processing feature from a group of document-processing features. Hansen also teaches that the prepared documents are submitted to a production output device (122) (column 8,lines 37-40), which reads on printer for applying a plug-in module, associated with the preferential document-processing features, to the application file.

Regarding claim 10, Hansen teaches of RIP'ing (Raster Image Processor) a job prior to being sent to a device (122) (column 7, lines 7-10), which reads on a bitmap printing module for printing the application file.

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3

Regarding claim 12, Hansen teaches that the computer automatically converts the documents into a ready for printer format file (column 2,lines 39-41), which reads on a converter for converting the application file to a page description language file if the

application file does not represent a page description language file.

Regarding claim 13, Hansen teaches the print server (120) detects the attributes of the job (column 18,lines 29-32), which reads on a customization detector configured to detect whether customization data is associated with the application file. Hansen shows that the print server (120) and workstation (116), which reads on plug-in selector, where the desktop (302) is located are connected (figures 1a and 1b), which reads on the plug-in selector in communication with the customization detector and the plug-in database for selecting an active plug-in module based on the customization data.

Regarding claim 14, Hansen teaches of an internal processing engine that interprets whether a file is a ready for printer file format or not (column 5, lines 22-44),

Art Unit: 2626

which reads on a detector for receiving an input of an application file and determining whether the application file represents a page description language file. Hansen teaches of workstation (116), which provides the ability to modify documents (column 6, lines 20-31), which reads on a data augmenter for associating a preferential document-processing feature with the application file. Hansen also teaches of a desktop (302), which selects plug-ins (column 12, lines 62-67 and column 13, lines 1-9), which reads on a plug-in selector for selecting a plug-in module for supporting the document-processing feature.

Regarding claim 15, teaches of a production output device (122) such as a printer (column 7,lines 46-48), which reads on a printer for printing the application file using the selected plug-in module.

Regarding claim 16, claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3

Regarding claim 17, claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 12

Regarding claim 18, Hansen teaches of a desktop (302) in which a main program code looks for plug-ins (column 12, lines 62-67 and column 13, lines 1-9), which reads on the plug-in selector is adapted to access a plug-in database to retrieve the selected plug-in module.

Regarding claim 19, Hansen teaches of a file downloader used by the production output device (122) (column 8, lines 36-40 and figures 1a and 1b), which reads on the data augmenter cooperates with a downloader to express the preferential document-processing feature as a downloader-embedded customization data in the application file.

Regarding claim 20, Hansen teaches that the job is sent from the job preparation stations (116) to the production output devices (122) to be printed (column 6,lines 1-3 and column 11,lines 53-55). It is inherent that the production output device (122) contains a printer driver because it prints the job sent by the job preparation station (116), which reads on the data augmenter cooperates with a printer driver to express the preferential document-processing feature as printer-driver-embedded customization data in the application file.

## Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Burleson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8683 and fax number is (703) 746-3006. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. – 4:30p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at (703) 305-4863

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Michael Burleson Patent Examiner Art Unit 2626

Mlb

September 17, 2004